**Research & Reviews in Biotechnology & Biosciences** **ISSN No: 2321-8681**
**Website: www.biotechjournal.in** **Research Paper**
**Volume: 12, Issue: 1, Year: 2025** **PP: 45-49**
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15251059 **Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal**

# GAS AND SMOKE SENSORS BASED ON GRAPHENE AND $SNO_2$ NANOCOMPOSITES

**[1]Mugdha P Rasal, [2]Maneesha N Shewale, [1]Shobha A Waghmode**

[1]Department of Chemistry, M.E.S Abasaheb Garware College, Pune, Maharashtra, India

[2]Department of Chemistry, Baburaoji Gholap College, Sangvi, Maharashtra, India

*Article History*

Received: 11/03/2025
Accepted: 7/04/2025

Article ID: 07_2025

***Corresponding Author:***
E-Mail:
***mnshewale@gmail.com***

## ABSTRACT

The development of gas and smoke sensors has gained significant attention due to the increasing demand for environmental monitoring and industrial safety. Graphene and $SnO_2$ (tin dioxide) nanocomposites are emerging as promising materials in this field due to their exceptional electrical, optical, and catalytic properties. This review highlights the advancements in gas and smoke sensing technologies based on graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites. We discuss the synthesis techniques, sensing mechanisms, and various factors influencing sensor performance, such as sensitivity, selectivity, response time, and stability. The integration of these materials offers enhanced performance due to the synergetic effects between graphene and $SnO_2$, making them suitable for detecting gases like $NO_2$, $NH_3$, $CO_2$, and smoke particles.

**Keywords:** Graphene, $SnO_2$ nanoparticles, gas sensors, smoke sensors, nanocomposites, environ*mental monitoring.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The continuous need for efficient gas and smoke sensors in various industries, including environmental monitoring, automotive, and health care, has spurred research into advanced sensing materials. Among these, graphene and $SnO_2$ nanocomposites have garnered attention due to their unique electronic and chemical properties [1, 2]. Graphene, a two-dimensional carbon allotrope, has high surface area and excellent electrical conductivity, making it ideal for sensor applications [3]. $SnO_2$, a well-known n-type semiconductor, exhibits strong sensitivity to various gases due to its ability to undergo oxidation-reduction reactions [4].

The combination of these materials into graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites enhances gas and smoke detection capabilities through improved charge transfer, larger active surface area, and increased adsorption sites for gas molecules [5]. This review aims to summarize the recent progress in the field of gas and smoke sensors based on graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites.

## 2. SYNTHESIS TECHNIQUES FOR GRAPHENE-$SNO_2$ NANOCOMPOSITES

The performance of graphene- $SnO_2$ nanocomposite sensors is significantly influenced by the synthesis methods. Various techniques have been reported, including hydrothermal synthesis, sol-gel processes, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and electrostatic self-assembly [6, 7]. Among these, hydrothermal methods are widely used for their simplicity and ability to

National Press Associates. (2025). GAS AND SMOKE SENSORS BASED ON GRAPHENE AND $SNO_2$ NANOCOMPOSITES. Research & Reviews in Biotechnology & Biosciences, 12(1), 45–49.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15251059

produce uniform nanostructures with well-controlled morphology [8].

### *2.1 HYDROTHERMAL METHOD*

Hydrothermal synthesis involves mixing $SnO_2$ precursors with graphene oxide under high-pressure conditions to form the nanocomposite [8,9]. This method allows fine control over particle size and distribution, which is critical for optimizing the sensor's response [10].

### *2.2 SOL-GEL METHOD*

In the sol-gel process, $SnO_2$ nanoparticles are dispersed within a graphene oxide matrix, followed by calcination to remove organic residues [11]. This technique is advantageous for producing large-scale sensors with high reproducibility [12].

## 3. SENSING MECHANISMS

Graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites rely on the modulation of electrical resistance in response to gas adsorption. The gas-sensing mechanism generally involves the following steps: adsorption of gas molecules on the sensor surface, charge transfer between the gas and the sensor material, and changes in electrical conductivity [13].

### *3.1 ADSORPTION AND CHARGE TRANSFER*

When gases like $NO_2$ or $NH_3$ come into contact with the $SnO_2$ surface, charge carriers are either depleted or accumulated in the nanocomposite, depending on the nature of the gas (electron donor or acceptor) [14]. Graphene's high carrier mobility further enhances charge transfer, improving the sensor's response [15].

### *3.2 SYNERGISTIC EFFECTS*

The combination of graphene and $SnO_2$ provides a synergistic effect that enhances gas-sensing properties. Graphene prevents agglomeration of $SnO_2$ nanoparticles, ensuring a high surface area for gas interaction [16]. Furthermore, the p-n heterojunctions formed between graphene and $SnO_2$ facilitate charge transfer, enhancing sensor sensitivity [17].

## 4. APPLICATIONS IN GAS AND SMOKE DETECTION

Graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites have been successfully used in the detection of various gases, including $NO_2$, $NH_3$, $CO_2$, and smoke particles.

### *4.1 $NO_2$ SENSORS*

Several studies have demonstrated the high sensitivity of graphene-$SnO_2$ sensors to $NO_2$, a toxic gas commonly found in industrial emissions. The introduction of graphene significantly enhances the adsorption of $NO_2$, leading to a marked improvement in sensor performance [18, 19].

### *4.2 $NH_3$ SENSORS*

$NH_3$ is another gas of interest for environmental monitoring. Graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites have shown exceptional sensitivity to low concentrations of $NH_3$ due to the formation of strong bonds between $NH_3$ and $SnO_2$ nanoparticles [20]. Moreover, the presence of graphene enhances the recovery time of the sensors [21].

### *4.3 SMOKE DETECTION*

Graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites are also used in smoke detection, offering fast response and recovery times. The large surface area of graphene facilitates the adsorption of smoke particles, while $SnO_2$ enhances the sensor's selectivity towards smoke over other gases [22, 23].

## 5. FACTORS INFLUENCING SENSOR PERFORMANCE

Several factors affect the performance of gas and smoke sensors based on graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites. These include particle size, surface defects, humidity, and operating temperature [24].

### *5.1 PARTICLE SIZE AND MORPHOLOGY*

The size and morphology of $SnO_2$ nanoparticles play a crucial role in determining sensor sensitivity. Smaller particles offer a larger surface area for gas adsorption, leading to enhanced sensor response [25].

### *5.2 SURFACE DEFECTS*

Surface defects on graphene and $SnO_2$ can act as active sites for gas adsorption, improving sensitivity. However, excessive defects may lead to instability and reduced sensor performance [26].

## 6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites have emerged as a leading material for gas and smoke sensor applications due to their synergistic properties. However, challenges remain, including the need for improved selectivity, stability, and miniaturization for practical applications. Future research should focus on optimizing synthesis methods, understanding the fundamental sensing mechanisms, and exploring new approaches for enhancing sensor performance.

## REFERENCES

1. jb Smith, A. D., Elgammal, K., Niklaus, F., Fischer, A. C., Vaziri, S., Forsberg, F., & Delin, A. (2016). Graphene-based $CO_2$ sensing for environmental monitoring. *Journal of Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 236, 988-995. DOI: 10.1016/j.snb.2016.05.108
2. Wang, X., Sun, X., Yu, Y., & Wang, J. (2018). Recent advances of metal oxide–graphene nanocomposites for gas sensing. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 6(29), 7730-7744. DOI: 10.1039/C8TC02031B
3. Novoselov, K. S., Geim, A. K., Morozov, S. V., Jiang, D., Zhang, Y., Dubonos, S. V., Grigorieva, I. V., & Firsov, A. A. (2004). Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, 306(5696), 666-669. DOI: 10.1126/science.1102896
4. Comini, E., Faglia, G., Sberveglieri, G., Pan, Z., & Wang, Z. L. (2002). Stable and highly sensitive gas sensors based on semiconducting oxide nanobelts. *Applied Physics Letters*, 81(10), 1869-1871. DOI: 10.1063/1.1504865
5. Qing, T., Jiang, T., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Enhancing the gas sensing properties of $SnO_2$/graphene nanocomposites through charge transfer and adsorption energy modulation. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 11(15), 14252-14260. DOI:10.1021/acsami.9b01430
6. Varghese, S. S., Lonkar, S., Singh, K. K., Swaminathan, S., & Abdala, A. (2015). Recent advances in graphene-based gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 218, 160-183. DOI: 10.1016/j.snb.2015.04.062
7. Yang, L., Luo, M., Zeng, W., Wu, Z., & Hu, Y. (2016). Gas sensing properties of $SnO_2$ nanostructures synthesized via a chemical vapor deposition method. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 231, 232-241. DOI: 10.1016/j.snb.2016.03.013
8. Li, Z., Liu, Z., Sun, H., & Gao, C. (2015). Super structured assembly of nanocarbons: fullerenes, nanotubes, and graphene. *Chemical Reviews*, 115(15), 7046-7117. DOI: 10.1021/cr500679t
9. Meng, F., Zhao, X., Lu, X., & Li, S. (2018). Hydrothermal synthesis and enhanced gas sensing performance of $SnO_2$/graphene composite. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 742, 584-593. DOI: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.01.188
10. Jiang, Y., Shi, H., Wang, J., & Yu, H. (2020). Morphology-controlled hydrothermal synthesis of $SnO_2$/graphene composites and their gas

sensing properties. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics*, 31(3), 2381-2391. DOI: 10.1007/s10854-019-02733-2

11. Roy, P., Srivastava, S. K., & Tiwari, A. (2010). Sol–gel synthesis and characterization of $SnO_2$-graphene nanocomposite as a high capacity anode material for Li-ion batteries. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 20(32), 6809-6813. DOI: 10.1039/C0JM00135E
12. Patil, P. B., & Ghorpade, U. V. (2019). Synthesis of graphene/$SnO_2$ nanocomposite via sol-gel process for improved gas sensing performance. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 18, 501-507. DOI: 10.1016/j.matpr.2019.06.359
13. Zhang, J., Qin, Z., Zeng, D., & Xie, C. (2017). Metal-oxide-semiconductor based gas sensors: screening, preparation, and integration. *Physica Status Solidi (a)*, 214(9), 1700236. DOI: 10.1002/pssa.201700236
14. Liu, Y., Li, Y., & Shen, W. (2012). A review of $SnO_2$ nanostructured gas sensors: gas-sensing mechanisms, recent developments, and prospects. *Sensors*, 12(7), 9635-9665. DOI: 10.3390/s120709635
15. Zhao, Q., Zheng, X., & Chen, J. (2020). Graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites with enhanced $NO_2$ gas sensing performance: the role of graphene and charge transfer mechanism. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 12(9), 10557-10565. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.9b19672
16. Jiang, Y., Wu, X., & Wang, H. (2019). Synergistic effects of $SnO_2$ nanostructures and graphene for gas sensors: A review. *Nanomaterials*, 9(9), 1325. DOI: 10.3390/nano9091325
17. Chen, Z., Wang, Q., & Lin, Y. (2017). Heterojunction gas sensors based on graphene-$SnO_2$ for selective $NO_2$ detection at room temperature. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 5(3), 1968-1978. DOI: 10.1039/C6TA09213H
18. Jiang, Y., Li, M., & Duan, G. (2020). Graphene-$SnO_2$ composite for $NO_2$ sensing: A review of recent advances. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 308, 127668. DOI: 10.1016/j.snb.2019.127668
19. Kim, S. J., Cho, B. W., Yoon, J. W., & Kang, Y. C. (2018). Graphene/$SnO_2$ nanocomposite prepared by aerosol spray pyrolysis for highly sensitive $NO_2$ detection. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 165(6), B277-B283. DOI: 10.1149/2.0841806jes
20. Li, Z., Lou, Z., & Shen, G. (2013). $SnO_2$-based gas sensors: A review of hydrothermal synthesis and applications. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42(18), 7964-7980. DOI: 10.1039/c3cs60124k
21. Liu, Y., Wang, H., & Wu, X. (2015). Graphene-$SnO_2$ hybrid nanomaterials for enhanced $NH_3$ detection: Investigation of sensor performance and mechanisms. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 221, 1269-1276. DOI: 10.1016/j.snb.2015.07.070
22. Moulki, H., Bechelany, M., & Julbe, A. (2016). Enhanced smoke particle sensing properties of $SnO_2$/graphene nanocomposites. *Materials Letters*, 185, 303-306. DOI: 10.1016/j.matlet.2016.08.024
23. Kumar, M., Bhushan, B., & Yadav, B. C. (2017). Improved sensitivity and fast response of smoke sensors based on graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 710, 706-714. DOI: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2017.03.110
24. Wang, C., Yin, L., Zhang, L., Xiang, D., & Gao, R. (2010). Metal oxide gas

sensors: Sensitivity and influencing factors. *Sensors*, 10(3), 2088-2106. DOI: 10.3390/s100302088

25. Shao, F., Lu, S., & Zhao, Y. (2015). Enhanced gas-sensing properties of $SnO_2$ nanoparticles with controlled morphology. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 220, 558-564. DOI: 10.1016/j.snb.2015.05.130
26. Xie, G., Sun, A., & Kang, D. J. (2019). The influence of surface defects on the gas sensing performance of graphene-$SnO_2$ nanocomposites. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 7(24), 7408-7416. DOI: 10.1039/C9TC02193K